

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,748	04/11/2002	Shlomo Ben-Haim	IMP031.228770 7537		
54042 7590 09/13/2007 WOLF, BLOCK, SHORR AND SOLIS-COHEN LLP 250 PARK AVENUE 10TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10177			EXAMINER		
			HOLMES, REX R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ł	1	
•		

•	H					
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/980,748	BEN-HAIM ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rex Holmes	3762				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Rex Holmes.	(3)Sanford T. Colb.					
(2) <u>George Evanisko</u> .	(4)	•				
Date of Interview: 28 August 2007.						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,30,45 and 72</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Incorporate new limitations into claims 1, 30, 45 and 72. The new limitations appear to be distinguished over the art of record. Examiner suggest that applicant file and RCE incorporating the <u>amendments and support therefor prior to Nov. 1<sup>st</sup> to allow the examiner to do a full search and consideration. Examiner suggests that the applicant consider US. Pat. 5,792,189.</u></u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	·					
	GEORGE	R. EVANISKO				
		EXAMINER				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application/Control Number: 09/980,748 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

1. (currently amended) A method comprising:

determining that fibrillation is occurring in a heart of a person; and defibrillating the heart without applying shock pulses by:

applying electrical pulses to the heart at a rate greater than about 10 Hz, with a peak power that is less than about 100 W, and

terminating the electrical pulses, <u>whereby said electrical pulses effectuate</u> <u>defibrillation of the heart</u>.